UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,390	07/20/2007	Stefan Hansch	H0075.70116US00	8873
	7590 04/13/201 IFIELD & SACKS, P.(EXAMINER		
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			PARVEZ, AZM A	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/13/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/593,390	HANSCH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	AZM PARVEZ	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fee 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8-13,15,16 and 18-25 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-13,15,16 and 18-25 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>25</u> is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the correction of the original or	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/10/2010, 7/20/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/593,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I claim 1-3, 5 - 6 and 8-10 in the reply filed on 14 February, 2011 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1- 3,5 6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoag et al., US 5,105,538, and further in view of Hugler, US 6,671,955.
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Hoag et al., discloses;

A method for producing a split bearing arrangement, in which in several machining stations (see Hoag et al., column 5; line 12-14) a top bearing part (see Hoag et al., Fig 14; 41) is separated in a predefined fracture plane (see Hoag et al., Fig 2; 15) from a base bearing part (see Hoag et al., Fig 14; 42) monolithically connected thereto via a fracture separation process by applying force (see Hoag et al., column 4; line 25-31), whereupon the two parts are joined back together by means of a screw connection comprising at least two screws (see Hoag et al., Fig 14; 42),

the base bearing part and the top bearing part are fixed on an adapter

device (see Hoag et al., Fig 3; 22) that is conveyed from one machining station to another (see Hoag et al., column 5; line 12-14) while the top bearing part is retained at least during some processes in the machining stations via a retractable auxiliary support (see Hoag et al., Fig 14; 27) disposed on the adapter device and engaging the top bearing part outside the area of the screw connection,

the location of the top beating part is accurately fixed (see Hoag et al., Fig 18; 41,42) in parallel to the fracture plane with respect to the base bearing part during the release and cleaning process (examiner interpret that any action can be done after screw connection), whilst the top bearing part is held in a loose manner in a direction perpendicular to the fracture plane (see Hoag et al., Fig 18; 41,42).

Hoag et al., does not disclose;

the base bearing part and the top bearing part are subjected to a release and cleaning process in the fracture plane after the fracture separation process.

However Hugler teaches

the base bearing part and the top bearing part are subjected to a release and cleaning process (see Hugler, Fig 1; 8, 9 and column 2; line 56-68; column 3; line 1-3) in the fracture plane after the fracture separation process.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hoag et al., by doing vibrating and cleaning, as taught by Hugler, since such a modification would have prevent detachable adhering particles of the material from falling out in an uncontrolled manner.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,390 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

For claim 3 (see Hoag et al., Fig 6; 36, 37).

For claim 9 (see Hoag et al., column 5; line 21-35)

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoag et

al., US 5,105,538, in view of Hugler, US 6,671,955 as applied to claim1-3,5-6 and 9-

10 above and further in view of Schimidt, US 5,208,979

6. Regarding claim 8, Hoag et al., together with Hugler do not disclose;

A fracture separation groove is incorporated in the fracture plane by a laser prior to the fracture separation process.

However Schimidt teaches

a fracture separation groove is incorporated in the fracture plane by a laser (see Schimidt, Fig 3, 42 and column 3; line 37-38) prior to the fracture separation process.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hoag et al. together with Hugler, by laser cutting a stress riser, as taught by Schimidt, since such a modification would have promotes crack initiation at relatively low tension force levels.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AZM PARVEZ whose telephone number is (571)270-1391. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30 / Alt Fri day off.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,390 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DERRIS BANKS can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AZM PARVEZ Examiner Art Unit 3729

/Derris H Banks/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3729